

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/830,230	BACHMEIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Connolly	2115	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mark Connolly.

(3) _____

(2) James Schutz (Applicants representative).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 December 2006

Time: 10:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a brief telephone interview with applicant, it was agreed upon to amend claim 28 to positively recite the steps performed in the claim.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/11/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)